UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

HONORABLE AVERN COHN

V.

No. 16-20414-13

RANDY STEWART,

Defendant.

SENTENCING HEARING

Friday, July 28, 2017

Appearances:

On behalf of Plaintiff

Benjamin Coats
U.S. Attorney's Office
211 W. Fort Street, #2300
Detroit, Michigan 48226
(313) 226-9100
Haralambos D. Mihas, Law Offices
467 Eureka Road, #1
Wyandotte, Michigan 48192
(734) 692-3033
On behalf of Defendant

To obtain a certified transcript, contact: Sheri K. Ward, Official Court Reporter Theodore Levin United States Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Boulevard, Room 219 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 234-2604 · ward@transcriptorders.com

Transcript produced using machine shorthand and CAT software.

INDEX

<u>Proceedings</u>	Page
Sentencing Hearing	3
Allocution by Mr. Mihas	8
Allocution by The Defendant	8
Allocution by Mr. Coats	8
Sentence of the Court	9
Motion to Dismiss By Mr. Coats	11
Certification of Reporter	11

E X H I B I T S

Number <u>Description</u> <u>Id'd Rcvd Vol</u>.

None Marked, Offered or Received

_ _ _

Page 3 Detroit, Michigan 1 2 Friday, July 28, 2017 3 2:05 p.m. 4 THE CLERK: Case Number 16-20414, 5 United States of America v. Randy Stewart, Defendant 13. 6 7 MR. COATS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 8 Benjamin Coats for the United States. 9 MR. MIHAS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 10 Haralambos Dimitrios Mihas on behalf of my client. THE COURT: Mr. Stewart, you are before the Court for 11 12 sentencing on your plea of guilty to engaging in a Conspiracy 13 to Distribute and to Possess with Intent to Distribute a 14 controlled substance, Count One of the indictment. 15 I have received a Presentence Report from the Probation 16 Office, which scores you at an Offense Level of 27, a Criminal 17 History Category of IV, which calls for a Guideline Range of 100 to 125 months. 18 19 Under your plea agreement the Guideline Range is 87 to

108 months with an agreement that you be sentenced to 60 months.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just from what I said, does anyone have any additions, deletions or corrections?

MR. COATS: Your Honor, I believe the Court has stated it correctly. I would add only that the plea agreement

Page 4

contemplates a situation in which we calculated his criminal history category wrong, which is what happened here. We said it was a III and it was a IV so the plea agreement provides that the higher guideline range would become the agreed range under those circumstances so then the parties' agreed range would be the same as Probation's agreed range, 100 to 125 months, but our recommendation for the 60-month specific sentence remains in place.

THE COURT: What do you have to say?

MR. MIHAS: Your Honor, he's well stated it.

THE COURT: What?

MR. MIHAS: I agree with the Government.

THE COURT: Okay. That's it?

MR. MIHAS: We would ask you to acknowledge and enforce the sentence of 60 months that we have agreed to.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Coats, I don't want to correct your rhetoric. You don't suggest 60 months. You say 60 months, period.

MR. COATS: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: With no explanation of how you arrived at 60 months. The reason I mention this is I believe he -- is he the first of the defendants to be sentenced?

MR. COATS: Yes, he is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So this is sort of like a

benchmark?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 5

MR. COATS: You mentioned that at the plea hearing, Your Honor, and I disagreed with you then. Respectfully, Mr. Stewart's situation is different from pretty well every other defendant.

THE COURT: Why?

MR. COATS: For one thing, Your Honor, he was in custody beginning on February 6, 2016, which is before the DEA investigation really even got started. So our knowledge relating to his involvement in this conspiracy and his connection to the overdoses and the deaths, that's all different for him because the other people who are in this case were not in custody while the DEA was conducting its investigation, they were identified through that investigation, and so what they were doing was different. It involved the fentanyl --

THE COURT: Are you suggesting that his sentence of 60 months is too low of a benchmark?

MR. COATS: Your Honor, I'm just suggesting that it
is --

THE COURT: I mean, this is purely academic.

MR. COATS: I'm not suggesting that it's too low or too high. I'm just saying that I don't think the Court's future decisions will be bound in a restrictive way by the 60-month sentence that's imposed here today.

THE COURT: Do you know how many judges -- whether

Sentencing Hearing Friday, July 28, 2017

Page 6

there are any judges in this district that refuse to recognize 11(c)(1)(C) pleas?

MR. COATS: I don't know of any judges here. There very well may be, but I don't know of any judges here that refuse to accept "C" pleas.

THE COURT: Okay. But how did you get the 60 months?

MR. COATS: Your Honor, it was negotiated among the parties. I can say that 60 months is -- it's the same thing that Dennis Jones pled to. It's the same thing that a person, I think it's Javon Brown, one of the other defendants in this case, pled to.

It would have been the mandatory minimum for the drug quantity that he had. We took a look at his criminal history, we took a look at the offense conduct, and I think we can say that based on our view of the evidence Mr. Stewart was a regular member of this conspiracy. He was --

THE COURT: For a relatively short period of time.

MR. COATS: I don't know that I can say -- I suppose, yes, relative to the charged conspiracy, which is a number of years. We really only established that he participated in it for a number of months.

But recall the scale that this organization was operating at. Each day would involve dozens, if not hundreds, of deliveries of individual doses of heroin to customers, and so any person working a full day with this organization actually

Page 7

distributed a surprising amount of heroin, and to do it every day for a period of months involves you, as this defendant was involved in, involves you in a pretty significant quantity of drugs.

However, he, he was arrested in February of 2016 for conduct related to this conspiracy. He has served a significant amount of time in state custody already. Now, that time was really mostly on a probation violation so it's not exactly for that February 2016 conduct, but we felt that he should receive credit for serving that time, for being one of the first people to come in and plead guilty in this case and that he should receive some credit for that as well, and that his guidelines 100 to -- we thought at the time were 87 to 108. We thought that those were a little high and so we were willing to come down off of those, at least we came down 27 months from the bottom of those guidelines, and then we believe that 60 months is a fair sentence.

THE COURT: Thank you.

You, sir, may address the Court or your lawyer may address it, both of you can tell me what you want, the government can respond, but let's be mindful that the Court has been displaced in the sentencing process by the agreement of the parties so the Court really plays no role in the sentencing process other than simply enunciating the sentence.

Go ahead.

Page 8

MR. MIHAS: Your Honor, I appreciate that you have acknowledged our agreement. I appreciate that you have acknowledged our agreement. I ask you to enforce the agreement as it is. We will be asking for specific language so that the 530-some odd days that my client has served in state custody can be credited to him, and aside from that, I will allow my client to speak, to address the court.

THE DEFENDANT: My name is Randy Stewart. I just want to apologize to my mother, the Government, everything for the crimes that I committed and I'm sorry for them.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Does the Government have anything?

MR. COATS: Just to place on the record, Your Honor, and I'm going to hand a copy of this to the probation officer so that this language can be included in the J and C, but it's our understanding that from the Sentence Computation Center of the Bureau of Prisons that this defendant's judgment needs to include language like:

"For any prior custody time not credited by the Bureau of Prisons under Title 18 United States Code Section 3585, Subsection B, the Court applies U.S. Sentencing Guideline 5G1.3 to adjust the sentence for the defendant to receive all prior custody credit beginning on February 6, 2016."

And that's the date that we would ask the Court and the

Page 9

Bureau of Prisons to use for calculating the credit that he should receive for time served.

THE COURT: And that time from February 6, 2016?

MR. COATS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What's the significance of that

particular day?

MR. COATS: On February 6, 2016 this defendant was arrested delivering heroin on behalf of the Polo organization.

THE COURT: Okay. And that time will be credited against the 60 months of the sentence?

MR. COATS: Yes, Your Honor. It's my understanding that essentially it will be as if the Court's 60-month sentence started on February 6, 2016 so that he will get credit for that time and it will be included in a good time calculation, any reduction that comes in that.

THE COURT: Thank you. You give that to the clerk, not the probation officer --

MR. COATS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- because the clerk is the one that creates the judgment. Thank you.

It is sentence of the Court you be sentenced -- it is the sentence of the Court that you be committed to the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 60 months, to be followed by three years of supervised release under the standard terms and conditions of this district and that a special assessment of \$100 be

Page 10

imposed.

The Marshals are to know if you return him to State custody you have to do it with a detainer because his State sentence expires I think August 6th. If you keep him and don't return him until after August 6, the State will simply turn around and release him to you. But that's up to the Marshals. It's not up to the Court.

MR. COATS: Your Honor, the parties discussed this. It's my understanding Mr. Stewart would like to remain in federal custody and just be administratively discharged on the State sentence, which is what we understand will happen, and so we won't release the writ at his request and then his federal sentence will just start now.

PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, a couple things from Probation.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

PROBATION OFFICER: Will the Court waive the fines and costs of incarceration?

THE COURT: Fines, et cetera, are waived.

PROBATION OFFICER: Mandatory drug testing, will the Court impose mandatory drug testing or suspend it?

THE COURT: No, if necessary.

PROBATION OFFICER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MIHAS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Sentencing Hearing Friday, July 28, 2017 Page 11 MR. COATS: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the Government moves to dismiss the remaining counts of the Second Superseding Indictment --THE COURT: What counts? MR. COATS: -- against this defendant, I'm sorry. **THE COURT:** Whatever they are? Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. COATS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MIHAS:

(Proceedings concluded at 2:17 p.m.)

11

12

13

14

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcription of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

15

16

17

19

20

s/ Sheri K. Ward Sheri K. Ward Official Court Reporter 3/25/2019 Date

18

21

22

23

24

25